

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/464,528	12/15/1999	SAVERIO CARL FALCO	BB1205-US-NA	7694	
23906	7590 07/18/2003		*		
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128		COLLINS, C	COLLINS, CYNTHIA E		
	4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1638	<u>,</u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>
7 8 9	Application No. Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/464,528	FALCO ET AL.
,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cynthia Collins	1638
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the c ver sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED 24 JUNE 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED PLACE THEORY FILED PLACE OF APPEARAMENTATION (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applicantly a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the main	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Section 2.		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · · ·	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	•
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:	•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	* .
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:		ELIZABETH F. MCELWAIN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800



Continuation of 2. NOTE: newly added claims 25-28 raise new issues that would require further consideration under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraphs.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims amendments do not overcome the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph, for written description and for enablement, as the specification does not describe nucleic acid fragments of any size and of any length and from any source which have any amount of consitutive promoter activity and which can hybridize to SEQ ID NOS: 6 or 14 under stringent conditions; the specification also does not provide sufficient guidance for one skilled in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, which nucleic acid fragments of any size and of any length and from any source that hybridize to SEQ ID NOS: 6 or 14 under stringent conditions would have consitutive promoter activity and which would not.